## The Northampton Gateway Rail Freight Interchange Order 201X Applicant's Response to s.55 checklist: minor errors and omissions

30.	Are there any observations in respect of the documents provided above?	
	PINS comment [extract taken from s.55 Acceptance Checklist]	Applicant Response
	Environmental Statement (Doc 5.2)	
	Regulation 5(2)(a) i) It is noted that, while the Applicant considers that the environmental statement could have been prepared under the 2009 EIA Regulations, under the transitional provisions, they have prepared the Environmental Statement so that it complies with the 2017 EIA Regulations. <u>s51 advice</u> has been issued regarding this matter.	i) The Applicant confirms that period in which "relevant representations" could be made was over the minimum period required by the 2017 EIA Regulations. The period began on 28 June 2018 and the deadline given was 5 August 2018.
	ii) The parameters plan (Figure 2.1), landscape phasing plan (Figure 2.2) and main site phasing plan (Figure 2.3) are not labelled with the relevant figure numbers, which makes it difficult to identify them in hard copy. <u>s51 advice</u> has been issued regarding this matter.	ii) The Applicant encloses with this submission updated Figures 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 which are now clearly labelled as such.
	Regulation 5(2)(m) Figure 10.1 of the Environmental Statement (Doc 5.2) does not show all the identified receptors listed in Table 10.4 of Chapter 10 of the Environmental Statement. s51 advice has been issued regarding this matter.	The Applicant encloses with this submission an updated Figure 10.1 identifying all receptors listed in Table 10.4.
•	Development Consent Order (Doc 3.1) On page 66 of the draft DCO (Schedule 8, Part 2), the description for Stratford	This is noted and will be amended in the next version of the draft DCO to be submitted.

30.	Are there any observations in respect of the documents provided above?	
	PINS comment [extract taken from s.55 Acceptance Checklist]	Applicant Response
	Road erroneously states that the centreline is marked in pink. This should read orange.	
	Book of Reference (Doc 4.3) There appear to be miscalculated measurements in the District of South Northamptonshire Part 1, Column 2 as follows:  • 4/13 '503 square metres', and  • 4/13a, '6149 square metres'	The Book of Reference is correct in respect of these parcel numbers; the parcels were unfortunately incorrectly labelled on the Land Plans. An updated Sheet 4 (Document 2.1D) is enclosed with this response which now correctly labels these parcels.
	Location Plan (Doc 2.12) The red line boundary for the A508 Grafton Regis junction is not completely in the area of the location plan.	The Applicant encloses with this submission an updated Location Plan (Document 2.12) with this red line now completely shown.
	Land Plans (Doc 2.1A – 2.1F) The following plots on the land plans cannot be seen clearly: 2/29, 6/8, 6/12, 6/15 & 6/16.  On Sheet 6 of the Land Plans (Doc 2.1F) the point at which plots 6/5, 6/6, 6/8 & 6/9 meet is unclear.	Updated sheets 2 and 6 (Documents 2.1B and 2.1F) have been prepared with further insets to clarify these small parcels, together with an updated Key Plan (Document 2.1).
	Access and Rights of Way Plans (Doc 2.3C) there is an unreferenced new private means of access. It would appear that this should be referenced as 'M'.	The reference 'M' has been added and the updated sheet (Document 2.3C) is included with this submission.
	Cross Sections Plans (Doc 2.4G – 2.4L) don't appear to be consistently drawn to scale.	The highway cross sections are all shown at 1:100 scale, with the exception of sections O and P (Document 2.4K) which are both shown at 1:200 scale (as labeled).

PINS comment [extract taken from s.55 Acceptance Checklist]	Applicant Response
	Sections O and P are cross sections of the roundabou at M1 Junction 15A, that include both sides of the circulatory carriageway, verges and the central island. As a result of the width of the cross section they have been shown at 1:200 such that, the whole roundabout could be shown in one section, rather than splitting the section into two parts and losing the context of the proposals.  The Applicant trusts this explanation is useful but pleas confirm if amended plans would be helpful.
The following plans have no revision number printed on the hard copies (these do appear on the electronic versions): <b>2.9B</b> , <b>2.9C</b> and <b>2.9D</b> . The paper and electronic plans otherwise appear identical.	Enclosed are updated paper copies of these plans. The Applicant confirms, for the avoidance of doubt, that the paper and electronic copies are identical in their contents ave for the missing revision number.